MINUTES BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF YORK

Regular Meeting October 25, 2005

6:00 p.m.

<u>Meeting Convened.</u> A Regular Meeting of the York County Board of Supervisors was called to order at 6:00 p.m., Tuesday, October 25, 2005, in the Board Room, York Hall, by Chairman James S. Burgett.

<u>Attendance</u>. The following members of the Board of Supervisors were present: Walter C. Zaremba, Sheila S. Noll, Kenneth L. Bowman, James S. Burgett, and Thomas G. Shepperd, Jr.

Also in attendance were James O. McReynolds, County Administrator; J. Mark Carter, Assistant County Administrator; and James E. Barnett, County Attorney.

Invocation. Ben Abel, York County Youth Commissioner, gave the Invocation.

<u>Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America</u>. Chairman Burgett led the Pledge of Allegiance.

HIGHWAY MATTERS

Mr. Mike Cade, Assistant Residency Administrator, Virginia Department of Transportation, (VDOT), appeared to update the Board on highway matters and began by answering some of Chairman Burgett's previous questions concerning paving materials used on Route 17. He stated the ongoing slope restoration projects and the paving of Route 17 was approximately 90 percent complete.

Mr. Zaremba suggested that VDOT provide a paving schedule for the year to the citizens, perhaps dividing the schedule per district.

Mr. Cade explained that the schedule is put together a year in advance, and there may be difficulties with the timeframes listed due to unexpected changes.

Mr. Bowman asked about the completion date for the Fort Eustis Boulevard extension project.

Mr. Cade stated the completion date was set for December 9, 2005.

<u>Mr. Bowman</u> referred to the Cook and Falcon Road intersection and asked if a study had been completed due to the number of accidents.

Mr. Cade stated he would have Mr. Brewer look into that.

Mrs. Noll pointed out that VDOT's reputation was getting better all the time and was within budget. She asked about using cell phone monitoring to track vehicle speed in the future. She asked that Mr. Brewer provide her with more information.

PRESENTATIONS

INTRODUCTION OF NEW MEMBERS TO YORK COUNTY BOARD AND COMMISSIONS

<u>Chairman Burgett</u> introduced and welcomed Dr. Gnanmani Arul to the Peninsula Agency on Aging Board and presented him with a Boards and Commissions Handbook and York County pin.

YOUTH COMMISSION

Mr. Brownlee Bakkum, Chairman of the York County Youth Commission, gave a presentation on the Commission's first quarterly report. He provided some background history on the Commission and its activities, and listed some of its upcoming events.

ZWEIBRÜCKEN EXCHANGE PROGRAM

Ms. Sandy Hespe, York County School Division, provided the Board with an update on the Zweibrücken Exchange Program, and she introduced the following students involved in this year's program:

Laura Horsting Bruton High School Grafton High School Sarah Griffith Grafton High School Maryanne Summerford Tabb High School Sarah Gibbs Tabb High School Emily Mazich Tiffany Ross Tabb High School Jeri Dilts York High School Jeff Luckring York High School Jonathan Noel York High School Ben Schenkkan York High School Karen Luckring Tabb Middle School

Mr. Jonathan Noel reported on the trip to Germany and thanked the Board for its continued support of the program.

EMPLOYEE RECOGNITION PROGRAM

<u>Chairman Burgett</u> congratulated the following employees for attaining many years of service with the County and presented each of them with service pins and certificates of achievement:

Dorita B. Stratton	CA/Economic Development	25 years
Kenneth M. Elliott	Financial & Management Services	20 years
Thomas J. Gallagher	Environmental & Dev. Services	20 years

YMCA

Mr. Jeff Schumacher, representative of the Peninsula Metropolitan YMCA, reported on the YMCA's programs, activities, and its mission. He elaborated on the expansion plans for both the Victory and the Williamsburg facilities, stating the construction for both locations will begin in several weeks.

YORK COUNTY PROFESSIONAL FIREFIGHTER ASSOCIATION

Mr. Richard Wenek, Chairman of the York County Professional Firefighter Association Relay for Life Team, thanked the Board for its support and participation in the 2005 Relay for Life event. He presented the Board members with T-shirts and asked for the Board's continued support.

PUBLIC HEARINGS

PURCHASING POLICY AMENDMENTS

Mr. McReynolds gave a presentation proposed Ordinance No. 05-24 to amend sections of the York County Centralized Purchasing Policy pertaining to changes related to advertising re-

quirements, approvals necessary for power-related Public Utility services, and amendments adopted by the 2005 Virginia General Assembly.

<u>Chairman Burgett</u> called to order a public hearing on Ordinance No. 05-24 that was duly advertised as required by law and is entitled:

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE CENTRALIZED PURCHASING POLICY FOR YORK COUNTY

There being no one present who wished to speak concerning the subject ordinance, <u>Chairman Burgett</u> closed the public hearing.

Mrs. Noll then moved the adoption of proposed Ordinance No. 05-24 that reads:

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE CENTRALIZED PURCHASING POLICY FOR YORK COUNTY

WHEREAS, Sections 15.2-1231 and 2.2-4343 of the Code of Virginia authorized the Board of Supervisors to provide for the centralized competitive purchasing of all supplies, equipment, materials and commodities for all departments, officers, and employees of the County, to include the County School Board and the Board of Public Welfare or Social Services;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the York County Board of Supervisors this 25th day of October, 2005, that specific sections of the centralized procurement policy for all goods and services for the County of York, as adopted September 7, 2004, be and is hereby amended to read and provide as follows:

ARTICLE I. PURPOSE, DEFINITIONS, APPLICATION OF POLICY

1-4. <u>Definitions</u>.

- (g) <u>Competitive Sealed Bidding</u> Competitive sealed bidding is a method of procurement which includes the following elements:
 - 2. Public notice of the invitation to bid at least ten (10) calendar days prior to the date set for receipt of bids by posting at the entrance to the Division of Purchasing for York County or by publication in at least one newspaper of general circulation in York County. In addition, bids may be solicited directly from potential offerors.

ARTICLE III. ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

3-17. Approvals.

Except as provided for emergency purchases, all purchases in excess of \$10,000 shall be specifically approved by the County Administrator, or the Approving Authority's designee, prior to the placement of a firm order. Purchases in excess of \$30,000 shall be specifically approved by the Board of Supervisors or the appropriate Approving Authority prior to placement of a firm order (excepting the purchase of vehicles for the Department of General Services' Vehicle & Equipment Maintenance Division, wherein the cost of a single unit does not exceed \$30,000; and acquisition of Public Utility Services; such purchases, subject to fund availability, may be made without separate specific Approving Authority). Emergency purchases may be approved after the fact. The request for approval shall identify the method of price competition used in the procurement.

This policy is not intended to require review or approval by the Board of specific items procured by the York County School Board, the York-Poquoson Department of Social Services, the Constitutional Officers, the York County Library, or public bodies who have by agreement become subject to this policy, when sufficient funds have been appropriated to such entity or officer for the purpose of the procurement and this policy has been followed. The Purchasing Agent with regard to such entities and officers shall, however, by signing all purchase orders

for such procurements prior to the placement of a firm order, certify compliance with this policy and procedures issued pursuant to it. The Purchasing Agent shall not execute a purchase order if such procurement has not been in compliance with this policy and the procedures developed pursuant to it.

3-22. Claims.

- 1. Contractual claims, whether for money or other relief, shall be submitted in writing to the Purchasing Agent no later than 60 days after receipt of final payment; however, written notice of the contractor's intention to file a claim shall be given at the time of the occurrence or at the beginning of the work upon which the claim is based.
- 2. No written decision denying a claim or addressing issues related to the claim shall be considered a denial of the claim unless the written decision is signed by the public body's chief administrative officer or his designee. The contractor may not institute legal action prior to receipt of the final written decision on the claim unless the public body fails to render a decision within 90 days of submission of the claim. Failure of the public body to render a decision within 90 days shall not result in the contractor being awarded the relief claimed or in any other relief or penalty. The sole remedy for the public body's failure to render a decision within 90 days shall be the contractor's right to institute immediate legal action.

A contractor may not invoke any administrative claims procedures adopted by the public body, if any, or institute legal action as provided in Code of Virginia § 2.2-4364, prior to receipt of the public body's decision on the claim, unless the public body fails to render such decision within the time specified in the contract or, if no time is specified, then within the time provided by Code of Virginia § 2.2-4363(C). A failure of the public body to render a final decision within such time shall be deemed a final decision denying the claim by the public body.

The decision of the public body shall be final and conclusive unless the contractor appeals within six months of the date of the final decision on the claim by the public body by invoking the public body's administrative claims procedures, if any, or in the alternative by instituting legal action as provided in § 2.2-4364.

On roll call the vote was:

Yea: (5) Zaremba, Noll, Bowman, Shepperd, Burgett

Nay: (0)

PARKING PROHIBITIONS

<u>Mr. Carter</u> gave a presentation on proposed Ordinance No. 05-27 to amend Section 15-48 of the York County Code to amend subsection (c) to add the Brandywine and Gaines Estates Subdivisions to the list of specific areas where parking of certain classifications of commercial, recreational, and passenger carrying vehicles on public streets is prohibited.

<u>Chairman Burgett</u> called to order a public hearing on Ordinance No. 05-27 that was duly advertised as required by law and is entitled:

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND SECTION 15-48, PARKING PROHIBITED OR RESTRICTED IN SPECIFIC PLACES, OF THE YORK COUNTY CODE, TO ADD THE BRANDYWINE AND GAINES ESTATES SUBDIVISIONS TO THE LIST OF SPECIFIC AREAS WHERE THE PARKING OF COMMERCIAL, RECREATIONAL AND PASSENGER-CARRYING VEHICLES ON PUBLIC STREETS IS PROHIBITED

There being no one present who wished to speak concerning the subject ordinance, <u>Chairman Burgett</u> closed the public hearing.

Mr. Bowman then moved the adoption of proposed Ordinance 05-27 that reads:

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND SECTION 15-48, PARKING PROHIBITED OR RESTRICTED IN SPECIFIC PLACES, OF THE YORK COUNTY CODE, TO ADD THE BRANDYWINE AND GAINES ESTATES SUBDIVISIONS TO THE LIST OF SPECIFIC AREAS WHERE THE PARKING OF COMMERCIAL, RECREATIONAL AND PASSENGER-CARRYING VEHICLES ON PUBLIC STREETS IS PROHIBITED

WHEREAS, the York County Board of Supervisors has determined that the parking of large vehicles along certain streets, other than for temporary periods to allow deliveries, may present safety hazards for other vehicles and for pedestrians and may contribute to premature failure of road surfaces designed to accommodate primarily passenger vehicles; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 46.2-1222 of the <u>Code of Virginia</u>, the Board has adopted an ordinance that prohibits the parking of certain classifications of vehicles on certain secondary system highways in designated areas of the County; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to a request made by the Brandywine Association, and the investigation of the streets and parking characteristics of that development, the Board has determined that it would be appropriate and desirable to add both the Brandywine and Gaines Estates subdivisions, as delineated and described in the County Administrator's report to the Board dated September 26, 2005, to the list of areas subject to the special parking restrictions; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the York County Board of Supervisors this 25th day of October, 2005, that Section 15-48(c)(3) of Chapter 15, Motor Vehicles and Traffic, York County Code, be and it is hereby amended to add subsections cc. and dd. as follows:

cc. Brandywine dd. Gaines Estates

On roll call the vote was:

Yea: (5) Noll, Bowman, Shepperd, Zaremba, Burgett

Nav: (0)

VACATION OF DRAINAGE AND UTILTIES EASEMENT

Mr. Barnett gave a presentation on proposed Resolution R05-167 to authorize the execution of a deed or other document vacating the westernmost 10 feet of a 20-foot wide drainage and utilities easement across the rear portion of Lot 4, Section 1, Chisman Landing.

<u>Chairman Burgett</u> called to order a public hearing on proposed Resolution R05-167 that was duly advertised as required by law and is entitled:

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR TO EXECUTE A DEED OR OTHER DOCUMENT VACATING THE WESTERNMOST 10' OF A 20' WIDE DRAINAGE AND UTILITIES EASEMENT ACROSS THE REAR PORTION OF LOT 4, SECTION 1, CHISMAN LANDING, OTHERWISE KNOWN AS 103 CHISMAN LANDING

There being no one present who wished to speak concerning the subject resolution, <u>Chairman</u> Burgett closed the public hearing.

Mrs. Noll then moved the adoption of proposed Resolution R05-167 that reads:

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR TO EXECUTE A DEED OR OTHER DOCUMENT VACATING THE WESTERNMOST 10' OF A 20' WIDE DRAINAGE AND UTILITIES EASEMENT ACROSS THE REAR PORTION OF LOT 4, SECTION 1, CHISMAN LANDING, OTHERWISE KNOWN AS 103 CHISMAN LANDING

WHEREAS, a request has been made by the owners of Lot 4, Section 1 of Chisman Landing, also known as 103 Chisman Landing, in York County, for the vacation of the westernmost 10' of a certain 20' drainage and utilities easement across the referenced property, said easement having been conveyed to the County by a subdivision plat recorded in Deed Book 9, page 594; and

WHEREAS, it appears that such portion of the easement may be vacated without adversely affecting the public's interest; and

WHEREAS, a duly advertised public hearing has been held relative to this matter.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the York County Board of Supervisors this the 25th day of October, 2005, that the County Administrator is authorized to execute a deed or other document vacating the westernmost 10' of that certain 20' wide drainage and utilities easement conveyed to the County by virtue of the recordation of a subdivision plat recorded in Deed Book 9, page 594, as such easement crosses Lot 4, Section 1, Chisman Landing, having a street address of 103 Chisman Landing.

On roll call the vote was:

Yea: (5) Bowman, Shepperd, Zaremba, Noll, Burgett

Nay: (0)

GRAFTON DRIVE-BURTS ROAD CONNECTOR PROJECT

<u>Mr. Carter</u> gave a presentation on proposed Resolution R05-171 to authorize the County Administrator to execute all documents as may be necessary to convey certain County-owned properties to the John G. Martin Company, LLC, and to the Virginia Department of Transportation for the purpose of advancing the right-of-way acquisition process for the proposed Grafton Drive-Burts Road connector project.

<u>Chairman Burgett</u> called to order a public hearing on proposed Resolution R05-171 that was duly advertised as required by law and is entitled:

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR TO EXECUTE SUCH DEEDS AND OTHER DOCUMENTS AS MAY BE NECESSARY TO CONVEY CERTAIN COUNTY OWNED PROPERTIES TO THE JOHN G. MARTIN CO., LLC, AND TO THE VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FOR THE PURPOSE OF ADVANCING THE RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION PROCESS FOR THE PROPOSED GRAFTON DRIVE-BURTS ROAD CONNECTOR PROJECT

There being no one present who wished to speak concerning the subject resolution, <u>Chairman Burgett</u> closed the public hearing.

Mrs. Noll then moved the adoption of proposed Resolution R05-171 that reads:

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR TO EXECUTE SUCH DEEDS AND OTHER DOCUMENTS AS MAY BE NECESSARY TO CONVEY CERTAIN COUNTY OWNED PROP-

ERTIES TO THE JOHN G. MARTIN CO., LLC, AND TO THE VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FOR THE PURPOSE OF ADVANCING THE RIGHT-OF-WAY ACQUISITION PROCESS FOR THE PROPOSED GRAFTON DRIVE-BURTS ROAD CONNECTOR PROJECT

WHEREAS, the York County Board of Supervisors has previously authorized and completed the acquisition of approximately 1.17 acres of property from Wave Properties, LLC with the intention that said property would ultimately be exchanged for a portion of the adjacent property owned by the John G. Martin Company, LLC, which is necessary to accommodate the right-of-way for the proposed Grafton Drive-Burts Road connector; and

WHEREAS, plats of the areas to be transferred have been prepared based on the determination by the Virginia Department of Transportation of the exact boundaries of the necessary right-of-way; and

WHEREAS, following the holding of a duly advertised public hearing pursuant to Code of Virginia § 15.2-1800, this Board has determined that it is in the public's interest to convey the above-described properties either currently, or to be, owned by the County to the John G. Martin Company, LLC, and to the Virginia Department of Transportation.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the York County Board of Supervisors this the 25th day of October, 2005, that the County Administrator be, and is hereby, authorized to execute such deeds and other documents as are necessary to effect the conveyances described above and in the County Administrator's memorandum to the Board dated October 4, 2005.

On roll call the vote was:

Yea: (5) Shepperd, Zaremba, Noll, Bowman, Burgett

Nay: (0)

APPLICATION NO. UP-680-05, SPRINTCOM, INC.

<u>Mr. Carter</u> gave a presentation on Application No. UP-680-05 requesting a special use permit to authorize a 160-foot self-supporting communications tower with associated ground-mounted equipment located on a portion of property located at 2239 Hampton Highway. He explained that the Planning Commission had recommended approval of the application, and that staff recommended its approval through the adoption of proposed Resolution R05-169.

Mr. Richard Nayductt, 1305 Buchingham Station Drive, 3-D, Midlothian, Virginia, representing the applicant, appeared before the Board to answer any of the Board's questions concerning the proposed Sprint tower. He pointed out that the property had been in possession of the owner since 1982, and the owner had not been approached to develop this property on a commercial basis. He asked for the Board's consideration of this request.

Mr. Bowman asked if the applicant had tried to locate another tower in the area.

<u>Mr. Nayductt</u> stated they searched for an existing structure, and this owner was the only willing landlord. He stated there was not another co-locatable structure available.

Discussion ensued concerning the location of area towers.

<u>Chairman Burgett</u> pointed out that the surrounding area across Route 134 had been previously approved for a tower and that the area was already commercially developed. He questioned if Mr. Nayductt had tried to locate the tower there.

Mr. Nayductt stated his information was that this was the only willing landlord in this area.

<u>Chairman Burgett</u> called to order a public hearing on Application No. UP-680-05 that was duly advertised as required by law. Proposed Resolution R05-169 is entitled:

A RESOLUTION TO APPROVE A SPECIAL USE PERMIT TO AUTHORIZE A 160-FOOT SELF-SUPPORTING MONOPOLE COMMUNICATIONS TOWER WITH ASSOCIATED GROUND MOUNTED EQUIPMENT AT 2239 HAMPTON HIGHWAY

There being no one present who wished to speak concerning the application, <u>Chairman Burgett</u> closed the public hearing.

<u>Mr. Zaremba</u> stated the Board had decided a few years ago that it would maximize the number of carriers on one tower rather than having numerous shorter towers.

<u>Mr. Carter</u> explained that staff had not recommended a tower to the Board that does not have a co-location capability. He added that staff encouraged the co-location to make sure that the applicant seeks it out and to make sure the towers that are recommended have those capabilities.

Discussion continued concerning the location of towers.

<u>Mr. Bowman</u> mentioned that they would be having a work session soon to discuss the Comprehensive Plan and its zoning specifics. He suggested the application be tabled to the work session to allow further research on the tower location.

<u>Chairman Burgett</u> shared his concern that there would be more of these towers, and it was not his vision for the County. He pointed out this would be taking productive, tax-producing property and turning it into a non tax-paying property. He stated it would make the surrounding pieces of property difficult to develop, and suggested this would have a negative impact on everything around it.

<u>Mr. Shepperd</u> stated he was cautious of knowingly dividing up property where it would make the adjacent parcels useless. He stated he understands the need for the tower, but recalled that one had been approved for the property across the street.

<u>Mrs. Noll</u> stated she did not like towers any more than anyone else, but recognized the need to have proper coverage. She stated they could not capriciously turn down the application, recognizing that the change in zoning had not yet occurred.

Mr. Zaremba stated he was not convinced that the applicant had performed its due diligence concerning other locations being sought out. He suggested there were very few areas that do not have coverage, and stated there had not been enough persuasiveness to convince him to vote in favor of the application.

Mr. Nayductt advised that there were issues here that were not brought to his attention, and he requested the application be tabled. He mentioned concerns over the land lock of this parcel, and he asked for additional time to consult with Sprint and the landowner.

Mrs. Noll moved to table proposed Resolution R05-169 that reads:

A RESOLUTION TO APPROVE A SPECIAL USE PERMIT TO AUTHORIZE A 160-FOOT SELF-SUPPORTING MONOPOLE COMMUNICATIONS TOWER WITH ASSOCIATED GROUND MOUNTED EQUIPMENT AT 2239 HAMPTON HIGHWAY

WHEREAS, SprintCom, Incorporated has submitted Application No. UP-680-05, which requests a Special Use Permit pursuant to Section 24.1-306 (Category 17, No. 7) of the York County Zoning Ordinance, to authorize construction of a 160-foot freestanding monopole communications tower with associated equipment on the parcel located at 2239 Hampton Highway (Route 134) and further identified as Assessor's Parcel No. 37-16A (GPIN U02a-2236-3699); and

WHEREAS, said application has been referred to the York County Planning Commission in accordance with applicable procedure; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission recommends approval of this application; and

WHEREAS, the York County Board of Supervisors has conducted a duly advertised public hearing on this application; and

WHEREAS, the Board has carefully considered the public comments and Planning Commission recommendation with respect to this application;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the York County Board of Supervisors this the 25th day of October, 2005, that Application No. UP-680-05 be, and it is hereby, approved to authorize construction of a 160-foot freestanding monopole communications tower with associated equipment on the parcel of land located at 2239 Hampton Highway (Route 134) and further identified as Assessor's Parcel No. 37-16A (GPIN U02a-2236-3699), subject to the following conditions:

- 1. This use permit shall authorize the construction of a freestanding monopole communications tower with associated equipment on the parcel of land located at 2239 Hampton Highway (Route 134) and further identified as Assessor's Parcel No. 37-16A.
- 2. The height of the tower shall not exceed 160 feet.
- 3. A site plan prepared in accordance with the provisions of Article V of the York County Zoning Ordinance shall be submitted to and approved by the County prior to commencement of land clearing or any construction activity on the subject property. Except as modified herein, said plan shall be substantially in conformance with the sketch plan submitted by the applicant titled "Sprint, Jones Property, 2239 Hampton Highway, Yorktown, VA," Sheets T-1, S-1, S-2, Z-1, Z-2 and Z-3, dated 6/29/05 and revised 9/6/05, prepared by Fullerton Engineering Consultants, Inc. and received by the Planning Division September 6, 2005. As part of the site plan submittal, the applicant shall prepare a frequency intermodulation study to determine the impact on current communication transmissions for the York County Departments of Fire and Life Safety and General Services, Sheriff's Office, School Division, and the Intrac Sewer Telemetry System. Should any equipment associated with this facility at any time during the operation of the tower be found by the County to cause interference with County communications, the applicant shall be responsible for the elimination of said interference within twenty-four (24) hours of receipt of notice from the County.
- 4. Construction and operation of the tower shall be in conformance with the performance standards set forth in Sections 24.1-493 and 24.1-494 of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 5. The applicant shall submit to the County a statement from a registered engineer certifying that NIER (nonionizing electromagnetic radiation) emitted from the tower does not result in a ground level exposure at any point outside such facility that exceeds the maximum applicable exposure standards established by any regulatory agency of the U.S. Government or the American National Standards Institute.
- 6. A report from a registered structural or civil engineer shall be submitted indicating tower height and design, structure installation, and total anticipated capacity of the structure (including number and types of users that the structure can accommodate). These data shall satisfactorily demonstrate that the proposed tower conforms to all structural requirements of the Uniform Statewide Building Code and shall set out whether the tower will meet the structural requirement of EIA-222E, "Structural Standards for Steel Antenna Towers and Antenna Supporting Structures."
- 7. The access easement as shown on the above noted sketch plan shall be established for the benefit of tower patrons for purposes of ingress, egress, and installation and maintenance of utilities associated with the proposed telecommunications facility prior to site plan approval.

- 8. Advertising and signage on the tower shall be expressly prohibited, except for warning signs associated with the operation of the tower or its equipment.
- 9. Prior to site plan approval, the applicant shall submit written statements from the Federal Aviation Administration, Federal Communications Commission, and any other review authority with jurisdiction over the tower, stating that the proposed tower complies with regulations administered by that agency or that the tower is exempt from those regulations.
- 10. Evergreen planting material shall be installed for screening surrounding the facility as shown on the above referenced sketch plan Sheet Z-2 and pursuant to Section 24.1-240 et. seq.
- 11. Existing trees outside of the tower compound, access easement and utility easement areas shall be maintained by the applicant.
- 12. If at any time use of the communications tower ceases, the owner of the subject property on which the tower is located shall dismantle and remove it within six (6) months after ceasing to use it, unless:
 - (1) A binding lease agreement or letter of intent with another wireless communications provider has been executed in which case an additional six (6) months shall be granted. If a letter of intent is provided, the execution date for a binding lease agreement shall not extend more than (12) months beyond the time the use of the tower ceases, or
 - (2) The County requests, in writing, that the tower be reserved for County use.
- 13. Accessory facilities shall not include offices, vehicle storage, or outdoor storage unless permitted by the district regulations.
- 14. Evidence shall be provided prior to receipt of a building permit that the Virginia State Corporation Commission has been notified that a communication facility is to be constructed.
- 15. The proposed 8-foot chain link fence surrounding the facility shall be outfitted with opaque material deemed acceptable for screening purposes by the Zoning Administrator.
- 16. The communication tower shall be gray in color. Should Federal Aviation Administration requirements dictate special markings, tower lighting shall be used in lieu of multi-color painting. If painting is required, a tower maintenance plan shall be submitted to and approved by the County.
- 17. No microwave dishes, conical shaped antennae, or other dish shall be permitted on the tower.
- 18. The communications tower shall be structurally designed to accommodate no fewer than three (3) wireless users capable of supporting either PCS or cellular antenna arrays. If space is available, the County shall have the right of first refusal for leasing a space on the tower to place an antenna in support of operations consistent with the County's Department of Fire and Life Safety.
- 19. In accordance with Section 24.1-115(b)(7) of the York County Zoning Ordinance, a certified copy of the resolution authorizing this Special Use Permit shall be recorded at the expense of the applicant in the name of the property owner as grantor in the office of the Clerk of the Circuit Court prior to application for site plan approval or issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy, whichever occurs first.

On roll call the vote was:

Yea: (2) Noll, Shepperd

Nay: (3) Zaremba, Bowman, Burgett

Mr. Zaremba then moved the adoption of proposed Resolution R05-180 that reads:

A RESOLUTION TO DENY A SPECIAL USE PERMIT TO AUTHORIZE A 160-FOOT SELF-SUPPORTING MONOPOLE COMMUNICATIONS TOWER WITH ASSOCIATED GROUND MOUNTED EQUIPMENT AT 2239 HAMPTON HIGHWAY

WHEREAS, SprintCom, Incorporated has submitted Application No. UP-680-05, which requests a Special Use Permit pursuant to Section 24.1-306 (Category 17, No. 7) of the York County Zoning Ordinance, to authorize construction of a 160-foot freestanding monopole communications tower with associated equipment on the parcel located at 2239 Hampton Highway (Route 134) and further identified as Assessor's Parcel No. 37-16A (GPIN U02a-2236-3699); and

WHEREAS, said application has been referred to the York County Planning Commission in accordance with applicable procedure; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission has recommended approval of this application; and

WHEREAS, the York County Board of Supervisors has conducted a duly advertised public hearing on this application; and

WHEREAS, the Board has carefully considered the public comments and Planning Commission recommendation with respect to this application and also has considered the potential for development of this and adjacent properties; and

WHEREAS, the Board has determined that placement of a communications tower on this site would negatively impact the development potential of adjacent commercially zoned parcels and, particularly, could diminish opportunities for and the probability of assemblage of the several adjoining parcels into a cohesive, well-planned and integrated commercial development; and

WHEREAS, the Comprehensive Plan encourages and promotes "nodal" development patterns along Route 134, and the Board finds that location of a communications tower on a parcel at the virtual center of the commercial node on the northeastern quadrant of the Route 134/Big Bethel Road intersection would diminish opportunities to achieve those land use objectives; and

WHEREAS, the Board is of the opinion that other sites in this general vicinity could be equally well suited to achieving the objectives of the applicant while remaining consistent with the objective of maximizing economic development potential for the County's commercially zoned properties.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the York County Board of Supervisors this the 25th day of October, 2005, that Application No. UP-680-05 be, and it is hereby, denied.

On roll call the vote was:

Yea: (4) Bowman, Shepperd, Zaremba, Burgett

Nay: (1) Noll

YORK COUNTY COMPREHENSIVE PLAN UPDATE

Mr. Carter stated the Board held a work session on October 11 to discuss some of the provisions in the recommended plan, and another work session is scheduled for November 1. He

suggested the Board continue tonight's Public Hearing until the November 15 meeting so there could be an opportunity for additional comment or deliberation by the Board.

<u>Chairman Burgett</u> pointed out to citizens that this is the time the Board will receive comments on the Comprehensive Plan and there will be no comments accepted at the November 1 work session. He then called to order a public hearing on the Comprehensive Plan which was duly advertised as required by law.

Ms. Victoria Gussman, 7308 Church Lane, Toano, Director of Property Resources and Planning for the Colonial Williamsburg Foundation, spoke about the Foundation's properties located in the Bruton District, specifically pointing out one of largest tracts of property - Carrs Hill property. She spoke of the proposed change in the Comprehensive Plan designations on this tract from medium to low-density residential, and from economic opportunity to limited business. She requested the Board retain the present Comprehensive Plan designations, on which Colonial Williamsburg has relied and which will give more flexibility and economic potential for the property.

Mr. Robert Duckett, 302 Sommerville Way, representing the Peninsula Housing & Builders Association, commended the Board for its leadership and stated the County continues to be a desirable place to live. He discussed some of the Association's concerns that its members have over the Comprehensive Plan and down zoning. He pointed out that reducing usability of property through down zoning would put upward pressure on prices, making housing less affordable in York County. He encouraged the Board to keep housing at an affordable level.

Mr. Paul Garman, 109 Chisman Point Road, agreed with Mr. Duckett's comments concerning affordable housing. He pointed out the people that would be relocating from Fort Monroe to Fort Eustis and the need for housing would be greater. He then asked the Board to consider preserving waterfront land. He stated many waterfront owners have concerns over paying high tax rates when the conditions of the waterways are such that they cannot use them. He asked the County to begin looking at this problem and determine how other localities handle these types of situations.

Mr. Douglas Ellis, 119 Little John Road, spoke on the greenways in the County and asked the County to support trails. He mentioned other localities that support trails and stated they encourage mobility, alternate transportation, health and recreation. He offered his experience in greenway development and mentioned his interest in being on an advisory board. He challenged the Board to be creative in its thinking towards recreational facilities.

Mr. David Malmquist, 109 Charles River Landing Road, mentioned the difference in the Comprehensive Plan and what is happening on the ground. He encouraged the Board to build as many bikeways as possible. He pointed out the positive attributes of having bikeways, which include lower fuel costs and pollution control. He quoted language from the Comprehensive Plan concerning sidewalks and suggested there be a much stronger approach to sidewalks always being installed during development. He stated that 25 percent of the nitrogen deposited in the Chesapeake Bay comes from automobile exhaust, and anything to reduce sprawl and encourage alternative transportation would help the Bay.

Mr. Jack Hamilton, 102 Banbury Cross, President of the Banbury Cross Homeowner's Association, stated the Association appreciated the efforts of the Steering Committee and the Planning Commission in keeping the Association informed about the Comprehensive Plan review. He presented the Board with a petition signed by 350 citizens who make it clear they do not want commercial development on the east side of the interstate. He asked the Board to protect the rural residential character of the neighborhoods.

Mr. Russ Gorgone, 108 Shady Bluff Point, appeared before the Board to request stronger language to curb activity of general business in the Skimino area. He stated public safety was an issue in that area, and the roads do not support general business or commercial activity in general. Many of the roads are narrow, winding roads that would require major reconstruction to support commercial business. He stated the suggestion in the Comprehensive Plan included a connector road from Barlow to Fenton Mill, but pointed out that would only add more traffic in the area, and citizens do not want to see that.

Mr. Peter Mellette, 125 Cherwell Court, stated that the residents in Skimino and the adjacent James City County communities that feed into Newman Road are uniformly in support of keeping the north and east area of the Lightfoot interchange Rural Residential. He stated his support for the adoption of the Comprehensive Plan Amendments and suggested the commercial node be down zoned from general business to limited business. He explained the residents support any mixed use in the Skimino area that has a residential focus and would be compatible with adjacent land uses. He suggested the upper County would be a good location for the projected 2,000 housing units needed over the next five years. He also expressed the need for significant road improvements in the Newman Road area to support commercial development.

Mr. Whit Richardson, 196 W. Queens Drive, a member of Active Williamsburg Alliance, stated the Alliance encourages the items in the Comprehensive Plan that support greenways, bikeways, sidewalks, and mixed-use developments. He mentioned the many benefits associated with bikeways for parents and children. He summarized that both new and existing communities could benefit from improvements to the infrastructure. He stated he personally was a progrowth person and would like to see the County become known regionally as a smart growth County that develops initiatives to help to make better communities.

There being no one else present who wished to speak concerning the revisions to the York County Comprehensive Plan, <u>Chairman Burgett</u> closed the public hearing.

CITIZENS COMMENT PERIOD

Mr. Gary Freeman, 120 Ballard Street, spoke as the Co-Chairman of the Yorktown Foundation Tall Ship Committee, and expressed thanks to the Board for its support in the committee's recent activities. He elaborated on the Labor Day events at the waterfront that included the Virginia Symphony and a visit by the H. M. S. Bounty. He provided pictures of the tall ships and stated the sale of the tickets helped offset the \$23,000 cost to bring the vessel to the waterfront. He announced that the ship Alliance would be home ported in Yorktown now. He explained that Mr. Pierson would come back before the Board after the first of the year with an update on the committee's progress.

Ms. Addie Jeanette Best, 819 Baptist Road, appeared over her concerns that she is receiving other citizens' mail at her home, and the possibility of identity theft. She stated she had a problem with a deputy from the sheriff's department and asked the Board for its help. She also mentioned that her power went out last night and she still has problems with a tree that endangers the power lines.

Mr. Scott Bartram, 102 Pageland Drive, a member of the Historic Triangle Bicycle Committee, spoke in support of the Regional Bike Plan as part of the Comprehensive Plan. He asked the Board to accelerate the widening of the roads where the intersections are being refurbished.

COUNTY ATTORNEY REPORTS AND REQUESTS

Mr. Barnett indicated he had no report to make at this time.

COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR REPORTS AND REQUESTS

<u>Mr. McReynolds</u> reminded the Board of its upcoming meetings: A work session is scheduled on November 1 to continue discussions on the Comprehensive Plan. November 15 is the next regularly scheduled meeting, followed by a regular meeting on December 6. The last regularly scheduled meeting of the year will be held on December 20.

MATTERS PRESENTED BY THE BOARD

Mrs. Noll spoke about the Yorktown Day celebration and thanked those who worked to make it a successful event. She discussed the 225th anniversary of Yorktown to be held in 2006 with a

four-day festival celebration planned at the waterfront. She announced there would be an award for the 2006 poster contest on Friday evening.

Mr. Zaremba discussed two previous major issues that have come from the Planning Commission before the Board, both having a unanimous vote. He pointed out that three of the Commission members were absent during a critical vote, and he suggested some of those members are missing too many meetings and perhaps they should be asked to evaluate their priorities. He mentioned some of the comments he has received since the Comprehensive Plan work session that addressed the population cap that is approximately 82,000, stating the projected figures for the Creekside Landing development shows there would be hardly any demand placed on the school system from it. Now schools in the area have seen a significant increase in numbers with a higher student population than anticipated. Mr. Zaremba explained that this put a burden on the infrastructure, and to the citizen's comments tonight on increasing the cap runs against what the Board is striving to do. He then referred to an article in the Virginia Gazette concerning the effects of timeshares on the hotel industry, and he reported that approximately \$4 million had been raised since the implementation of the \$2.00 tax. He pointed out that Williamsburg had many more timeshares per capita than other vacation destinations. He mentioned the Yorktown Day and Labor Day activities, and stated he was delighted at what is going on at the waterfront.

<u>Mr. Bowman</u> echoed the other Board members' comments concerning Yorktown Day, and he thanked everyone for putting it together. He mentioned that the next big event would be the 225th Anniversary event in 2006. He congratulated Jim Richardson in the Sheriff's Department on the recent gang arrest. He stated he attended the opening of Colonial Harbor Retirement Center, and pointed out that they already have a 50 percent occupancy in the new facility. He then reminded everyone to get out and vote on November 8.

Mr. Shepperd mentioned drainage issues and some of the problems the County has with VDOT that need to be addressed. He stated his displeasure with VDOT, and he stated he did not think they were taking care of York County like they should.

<u>Chairman Burgett</u> reported on the meetings he attended of the BRAC committee. He stated that Fort Monroe is 500 plus acres, and a lot of those people will relocate to the Fort Eustis area. He mentioned the need to make the housing affordable for the enlisted troops. He stated he will provide the Board with further information once it is available. He stated Yorktown Day was wonderful, and he thanked Mrs. Noll for her contributions on that committee. He noted he represented the Board at Sentara's Certificate of Need hearing where Riverside prevailed. He stated he will attend the ribbon cutting ceremony on Saturday morning at the new Farm Fresh on Route 17, and he mentioned plans to attend the Economic Development Authority's Annual Occasion for Industry at the Great Wolf Lodge.

CONSENT CALENDAR

Mrs. Noll moved that the Consent Calendar be approved as submitted, Item Nos. 7, 8, 9, 10 and 11, respectively.

On roll call the vote was:

Yea: (5) Bowman, Shepperd, Zaremba, Noll, Burgett

Nay: (0

Thereupon, the following minutes were approved and resolutions adopted:

Item No. 7. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

The minutes of the following meetings of the York County Board of Supervisors were approved:

September 20, 2005, Regular Meeting September 27, 2005, Adjourned Meeting

<u>Item No. 8. TRANSPORTATION ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM APPLICATION: Resolution R05-176.</u>

A RESOLUTION TO ENDORSE THE REQUEST OF THE WATER-MEN'S MUSEUM FOR FUNDING THROUGH THE VIRGINIA TRANSPORTATION ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM FOR CON-STRUCTION OF A NEW PIER AND SUPPORT FACILITIES AT THE WATERMEN'S MUSEUM

WHEREAS, the Watermen's Museum has developed a grant request for funding through the Transportation Enhancements Program administered by the Commonwealth Transportation Board and the Virginia Department of Transportation; and

WHEREAS, the Museum's project request includes proposed construction of a pier to provide water access for educational and other programs offered in conjunction with the Museum's operations; and

WHEREAS, the Yorktown Master Plan, adopted on March 4, 1993, subsequent to public hearing, recommended various improvements along the Yorktown waterfront, including the construction of a Riverwalk and piers along the York River; and

WHEREAS, the Riverwalk, has been completed along the Watermen's Museum shoreline and will be complemented and enhanced by the improvements that the Watermen's Museum is proposing; and

WHEREAS, the Transportation Enhancement Program guidelines require that project requests developed by organizations such as the Watermen's Museum must be sponsored by a government body or agency; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the York County Board of Supervisors this the 25th day of October, 2005, that it hereby sponsors the request of the Watermen's Museum for funding in the amount of \$675,000 from the Transportation Enhancement Program for the Watermen's Museum Improvement Project, subject to the Museum assuming all responsibility for providing the required amount of matching funds stipulated by Enhancement Program regulations.

Item No. 9. PURCHASE AUTHORIZATION: Resolution R05-178.

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR TO COMPLETE THE PURCHASE OF BACKHOE-LOADER COMBINATION TRACTOR

WHEREAS, it is the policy of the Board of Supervisors that all procurements of goods and services by the County involving the expenditure of \$30,000 or more be submitted to the Board for its review and approval; and

WHEREAS, the County Administrator has determined that the following procurements are necessary and desirable, they involve the expenditure of \$30,000 or more, and that all applicable laws, ordinances, and regulations have been complied with;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the York County Board of Supervisors this 25th day of October, 2005, that the County Administrator be, and hereby is, authorized to execute procurement arrangements for the following:

Backhoe-Loader Combination Tractor

* 75,015

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE AN AMENDMENT TO THE PUBLIC SEWER EXTENSION AGREEMENT FOR THE DEVELOPMENT KNOWN AS FELGATES WOODS

WHEREAS, Centex Homes has requested that the County enter into a public sewer extension agreement pursuant to §18.1-53 (b) of the York County Code to serve 92 new residential units; and

WHEREAS, the plan for the proposed project has been reviewed by the County; and

WHEREAS, prior to final approval of these plans and the initiation of any construction activity, it is necessary that a determination be made as to whether the Board will authorize the extension of the public sewer facilities of the County to serve the proposed development; and

WHEREAS, it has been determined that the Hickory Hills and Pinetree Pump Stations need to be upgraded for the future needs of the County and the upgrades (\$175,000) have been negotiated with the developer in accordance with §18.1-54; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with the terms of Chapter 18.1 of the York County Code the total connection fee to be paid to the County for the proposed extension to serve this development has been determined to be \$36,600.00;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the York County Board of Supervisors this 25th day of October, 2005, that the Board approves the amendment to the Public Sewer Extension Agreement for the Felgate's Woods development, and that the County Administrator be, and he hereby is, authorized to execute the amendment with Centex Homes; such agreement to be approved as to form by the County Attorney.

Item No. 11. CITIZEN OPINION SURVEY: Resolution R05-179.

A RESOLUTION TO AUTHORIZE THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR TO ENGAGE CONTINENTAL RESEARCH ASSOCIATES, INC., UNDER THE TERMS OF ITS CONTRACT WITH THE COUNTY, TO ADMINISTER AN ANNUAL CITIZEN SATISFACTION SURVEY AND TO AUTHORIZE EXPENDITURE OF \$11,200 FOR THE ANNUAL SURVEY FROM THE CONTINGENCY RESERVE

WHEREAS, the York County Board of Supervisors has established a goal of improving communications and opportunities for public input and, as one of the initiatives in support of this goal, has considered conducting an annual citizen satisfaction survey; and

WHEREAS, the Board has contracted with Continental Research Associates, Inc. for the special consulting services necessary to conduct such a survey; and

WHEREAS, the Board has approved the 2005 version of the survey questionnaire which is to be administered by the consultant in accordance with accepted survey practices;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the York County Board of Supervisors this the 25th day of October, 2005, that the County Administrator be, and is hereby, authorized to engage Continental Research Associates, Inc. under the terms of its contract with the Board to administer the 2005 citizen satisfaction survey in accordance with accepted survey methodology.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that \$11,200 be, and it is hereby, authorized to be expended from the General Fund Contingency Reserve for the purpose of funding the costs associated with the above described work.

NEW BUSINESS

APPLICATION NO. UP-683-05, WILLIAMSBURG PLAYERS

Mr. Carter gave a presentation on proposed Resolution R05-170 to approve a minor modification of a previously approved Special Use Permit for the Williamsburg Players authorizing a two-year extension of the deadline for establishing the special use.

Mr. Zaremba moved the adoption of proposed Resolution R05-170 that reads:

A RESOLUTION TO APPROVE A MINOR MODIFICATION OF A PREVIOUSLY APPROVED SPECIAL USE PERMIT BY AUTHORIZ-ING A TWO-YEAR EXTENSION OF THE DEADLINE FOR ESTAB-LISHING THE SPECIAL USE

WHEREAS, The Williamsburg Players, Inc., submitted Application No. UP 580-01, which requested a Special Use Permit, pursuant to Section 24.1-306 (Category 14, No. 6) of the York County Zoning Ordinance, to authorize an expansion of the James- York Playhouse on a 3.14-acre parcel of land located at 200 Hubbard Lane approximately 760 feet north of the intersection of Hubbard Lane (Route 716) and Penniman Road (Route 641) and further identified as Assessor's Parcel No. 10-37; and

WHEREAS, on September 18, 2001, the York County Board of Supervisors approved said application through the adoption of Resolution No. R01-152; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 24.1-115(c)(1) of the York County Zoning Ordinance, use permits automatically expire two years after adoption if the special use has not been established; and

WHEREAS, on September 16, 2003, the Board of Supervisors approved a minor modification to the above-referenced Special Use Permit, pursuant to Section 24.1-115(d)(2) of the York County Zoning Ordinance, by authorizing a two-year extension of the deadline for establishing the special use; and

WHEREAS, The Williamsburg Players, Inc., has submitted Application No. UP-683-05, which requests that the Board approve another minor modification to the above-referenced Special Use Permit, pursuant to Section 24.1-115(d)(2) of the York County Zoning Ordinance, by authorizing an additional two-year extension of the deadline for establishing the special use;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the York County Board of Supervisors this the 25th day of October, 2005, that Application No. UP-683-05, be, and it is hereby, approved to authorize a two-year extension of the deadline for establishing the special use approved by the Board on September 18, 2001, thus making the new expiration date September 18, 2007.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that all other terms of the Special Use Permit, as contained in Resolution No. R01-152, shall remain in full force and effect.

On roll call the vote was:

Shepperd, Zaremba, Noll, Bowman, Burgett Yea: (5)

Nay: (0)

CLOSED MEETING. At 9:22 p.m. Mr. Zaremba moved that the meeting be convened in Closed Meeting pursuant to Section 2.2-3711(a)(1) of the Code of Virginia pertaining to appointments to Boards and Commissions.

On roll call the vote was:

Yea. Zaremba, Noll, Bowman, Shepperd, Burgett (5)

Nay: (0)

Meeting Reconvened. At 9:43 p.m. the meeting was reconvened in open session by order of the Chair.

Mrs. Noll moved the adoption of proposed Resolution SR-1 that reads:

A RESOLUTION TO CERTIFY COMPLIANCE WITH THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT REGARDING MEETING IN CLOSED SESSION

WHEREAS, the York County Board of Supervisors has convened a closed meeting on this date pursuant to an affirmative recorded vote and in accordance with the provisions of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act; and

WHEREAS, Section 2.2-3711 of the Code of Virginia requires a certification by the York County Board of Supervisors that such closed meeting was conducted in conformity with Virginia law;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the York County Board of Supervisors this the 25th day of October, 2005, hereby certifies that, to the best of each member's knowledge, (1) only public business matters lawfully exempted from open meeting requirements by Virginia law were discussed in the closed meeting to which this certification resolution applies, and (2) only such public business matters as were identified in the motion convening the closed meeting were heard, discussed, or considered by the York County Board of Supervisors.

On roll call the vote was:

Yea: (5) Noll, Bowman, Shepperd, Zaremba, Burgett

Nay: (0)

APPOINTMENTS TO THE HEAD START POLICY COUNCIL

A RESOLUTION TO APPOINT REPRESENTATIVES TO THE YORK COUNTY HEAD START POLICY COUNCIL

WHEREAS, Head Start federal regulations require a Head Start Policy Council; and

WHEREAS, the Grantee seeks to formalize and enhance the shared governance between the Policy Council and the Grantee;

BE IT RESOLVED by the York County Board of Supervisors this 25th day of October, 2005, that the following individuals be, and they are hereby, appointed to the York County Head Start Policy Council for a term of three years, such term to begin October 1, 2005, and end September 30, 2008.

Laura Bronstein Social Worker, York-Poquoson Social Services
Sally Chiappazzi Public Health Nurse, Peninsula Health Department

Nicholas Corsi- Pastor, James-York Ministry Fellowship

Tiffany Hennington Manager, Copy Max

Mario Kokolis - Owner, Gazebo House of Pancakes & Days Inn Water

Country

Tiffany Little Property Manager, Woods of York

Phyllis Milne Associate Director of School Administration, York County

Schools

Mary Minor Director, Child and Family Connection

Samantha Smiley Grants & Payroll Coordinator, York County, Financial and

Management Services

On roll call the vote was:

Yea: Bowman, Shepperd, Zaremba, Noll, Burgett (5)

Nay: (0)

Meeting Adjourned. At 9:52 p.m. Chairman Burgett moved that the meeting be adjourned sine die to 6:00 p.m., Tuesday, November 1, 2005, in the East Room, York Hall, for the purpose of conducting a work session.

James O. McReynolds, Clerk James S. Burgett, Chairman

York County Board of Supervisors

York County Board of Supervisors